



## **Exopolitics and its role as a catalyst to Space Migration**

**David Griffin, M.Sc.**

### Abstract

This paper aims to examine the issues surrounding a terrestrial species move into an off-planet societal mode of being. As part of this process we highlight the fact that an evolutionary bifurcation point has been reached and thus at the greatest point of potential freedom we also feel the greatest sense of inertia to change. This is exemplified by what we've termed "The Obama Conundrum" – i.e.: politicians and other members of the power strata are caught up in the classic, Cartesian dichotomy where terrestrial matters are seen in opposition to issues surrounding space migration. After exploring some ideas as to why such a situation has come to exist we go on to examine post-Roswell extra-terrestrial influence on space philosophy and science, with a focus on a group of thinkers in the 1970s and 80s. We look at the role advanced intelligences are playing now to provoke the space issue and how open contact could be a core component to succeeding in this shift. The paper also provides evidence that space migration far from being some abstract or wasteful enterprise, could in fact be the economic and political catalyst for the simultaneous process of helping Earth's current problems and providing a much needed bootstrapping on collective consciousness as we make tentative steps to leave the geostationary womb.



We must keep the problems of today in true proportions: they are vital - indeed of extreme importance - since they can destroy our civilisation and slay the future before its birth. The crossing of space may do much to turn men's minds outwards and away from their present tribal squabbles. In this sense, the rocket, far from being one of the destroyers of civilisation, may provide the safety valve that is needed to preserve it.

### **The Obama Conundrum and Exopolitics**

In researching this article I eventually stumbled over the above passage from futurist and past Institute for Cooperation in Space (ICIS) chairman Arthur C Clarke. It provides a concise statement of the core issues at hand and demonstrates why exopolitics as a discipline is about far more than 'aliens' or the metaphorical and literal UFO. Indeed exopolitics has consciousness elevation as its central tenet and as Clarke implies – by leaving the gravity well of Earth we in fact stand a far greater chance of *terrestrial* peace than it looks like we may achieve otherwise; let's face it, the signs aren't all positive in this direction at present.

In his recent election drive, Barack Obama was asked by a journalist what he thought of the 'UFO issue' - he responded with an all too common cliché that those in public office fall back on under similar questioning by responding along the lines of: "It's better that we focus on the real problems *down here* before we start thinking of those *up there*...". This notion that space colonisation or interfacing and engaging with higher, off-planet intelligences has to exist in binary opposition to terrestrial progress is one all of us need to re-evaluate and soon if we're to move along any useful evolutionary avenues. In some ways the standard Obama response is a big 'P' Political tactic of course – how can senior politicians say otherwise when we have a culture based wholly around a financial scarcity and media friendly sound-bites? A politician is far more likely to see his power

maintained by building a hospital than building a space station; there's little chance of him being heckled by demonstrators for the latter in contemporary society. The problem is also more than that - it's far more fundamental to us as a species and how we've been conditioned to see ourselves. This approach of terrestrial vs non-terrestrial is an ingrained, semantic trap which in fact mirrors the classic Cartesian mind/body duality - but it's one that will become increasingly useless and cause an exponential degree of confusion if we continue to cling to it.

Part of the role of Exopolitics and all fields of enquiry that consider themselves as catalysts for the future is to educate and demonstrate alternative methods or lenses for seeing the world. So we could suggest that to over-come what we'll call the "Obama Conundrum" we'll have to offer ideas that deal with those perceived dichotomies that are preventing a fair examination of our move into a post-terrestrial culture. If we look at just the financial element - we can see that this is no mean feat. In fact terrestrial economics is perhaps what gives us the greatest amount of inertia: global finance has become inseparable from other thought processes and thus futurist planning. Global economic flows may be virtually virtual in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century but their relative position as zeros and ones makes finance a part of everything we see and do. When we combine this supply and demand, scarcity based [let's call it 'old world'] economics with the predominant political attitude towards those intelligences monitoring our planet we can see the scale of the education issue at hand.

The positive angle is that there is a rapidly increasing number of academics, scientists and researchers generally who are now shifting the balance and ensuring that 'business as usual' is no longer on the geopolitical menu. Whilst Exopolitics focuses mostly on the issues of disclosure and ET interaction - it by default must *also* function with a multi-disciplinary or hyper-informational approach and insert its findings into parallel fields of enquiry. It is only by this tactic of infiltration that it will achieve the core aims many of us have for it; this must ultimately be the *healing of the divide* between terrestrial and extra-terrestrial - on all levels we process that concept.

In a recorded audio session with Dr. Michael Salla some years ago – we discussed the causal elements that make people adopt the Exopolitical or 'UFOlogical' framework as their most productive one. Like many now in the field, Salla had previously been engaged in various academic and civilian peace initiatives yet came to realise that this purely terrestrial approach to say politics

or conflict resolution was of finite use. It takes a good degree of experience to reach this point but from then on the natural progress is to then approach global issues by adding the extra-terrestrial grid or framework. From this point many previously intransigent situations are able to be re-evaluated from a new perspective. For example - much of the deeper political aspects as discussed by Berkley professor Peter Dale Scott become easier to process including conspiracy based views, links to state backed crime, black projects, the intelligence matrix and of course the issue of extra-terrestrial contact. So given we now have an increasing number of people using this approach – what can we contribute to mapping our species path out of a constricted planetary consciousness and into a galactic one – bringing with it the benefits we’ve mentioned and breaking free from the Obama Conundrum?

### **Post-War Epoch and Space Consciousness**

From an Exopolitical point of view - the post-war period has seen the certain foundation nodes created for the struggle over the move to space colonisation and the associated shift in consciousness that accompanies this. Instrumental to this tension and in addition to terrestrial hegemonic battles are the various ET groups that accelerated their observation and intervention with the exploitation of atomic weaponry at Trinity and Hiroshima. Since this era some blatant displays by UFOs above power stations and [nuclear missile launch facilities have made their intentions clear](#)<sup>1</sup>. The response to the immediate post-war UFO increase and incidents such as Roswell was the 1947 National Security Act and establishment of what we now know in the wider Western world as the ‘Security State’. Focused initially on Communism, a more modern [and realistic] reading would put the UFO issue on par with this, at least in the view of the upper echelons of military and intelligence circles.



The social turmoil of the 1960s saw a shift in the how we saw ourselves when the peace movements called for an end to Vietnam and representatives of the human race looked back at Earth from the Moon. In turn this generation rejected much of the formal and paranoiac tendencies of the 1950s and instead looked for new ways of seeing the world. It's possible this resulted in the amplification of space-oriented ideas and consciousness in the mid-late 1970s.

In his book "The Intelligence Agents", author of "Exo-Psychology" Dr Timothy Leary described how a group of mixed discipline futurists began mapping the transition to space - both theoretically and literally in engineering and science terms. Leary lists Barbara Marx Hubbard, Barry Goldwater, NASA astronaut Brian O'Leary amongst a series of contributors to this area<sup>2</sup>. These people were taking the fiction out of science fiction and offering space as a viable place to inhabit. Indeed one of Leary's associates was Princeton Professor of Engineering Gerry O'Neil who in a 1974 paper suggested the following<sup>3</sup>:


1. we can colonize space without robbing or harming anyone and without polluting anything.
2. if work is begun soon, nearly all our industrial activity could be moved away from Earth's fragile biosphere within less than a century from now.
3. the technical imperatives of this kind of migration of people and industry into space are likely to encourage self-sufficiency, small-scale governmental units, cultural diversity and a high degree of independence.
4. the ultimate size limit for the human race on the newly available frontier is at least 20,000 times its present value.

These points demonstrate that the practical considerations of space migration had, by the 1970s, moved out of Clarke's Sci-Fi realm and into the mainstream scientific sphere. O'Neil was a formal academic scientist yet we could consider him and the group Leary wrote about as the first true 'Exopoliticians' given their understanding of space migration on more integrated level. Another product of the consciousness paradigm of the late 1960s to bloom in the 70s was the Deep Ecology movements. Organisation such as Earth First made also viewed the environmental challenges through a 'deep' or parallel processing framework. We can see the influence of the Ecology card in

O’Neil’s points above and this is a theme that remains strong, if not stronger, today. A move off-planet in some form can offer vast ecological solutions for a civilisation that is struggling to allocate resources in an equitable manner and release or invest in advanced free-energy systems currently closeted by above-government cabals as part of the wider umbrella term that Steve Bassett calls the UFO/ET Truth Embargo<sup>4</sup>.

The 70s exo-theorists continued to apply pragmatic ideas to the changing terrestrial situation by examining the impact of the transition into space on a multitude of areas including individual psychology, social collectives and freedom generally. This culminated into the L-5 Group<sup>5</sup> which examined the wider issues from scientific, psychological, political and cultural perspectives.

### **Futurist Group, Contacts and Technology Seeding**



An additional point to this synchronous 1970s gathering of [in one of Leary’s terms] ‘evolutionary agents’ is that some of them experienced their own form of direct, extra-terrestrial contact during that decade. These Sirius or Starseed transmissions have been well documented in the writings of some of these people including Phillip K Dick. Does the fact some form of advanced intelligence was focusing on this group of futurists mean they were on the right evolutionary track? Was it an attempt to direct and catalyse the species using a different tactic on behalf of the off-world tourists? I suggest this tentatively as there does seem to be some ‘meta’ structure or process to contact if we look at the data for the era. This mirrors what long term contactee Jim Sparks suggested with regard to a set protocol for ET intervention on developing planets

where the formal power structures are interfaced with initially and then, depending on the outcome,

the ET groups will then move on to a large-scale process of contacting individuals<sup>6</sup>. It seems certain that several attempts at official first contact were made in the 1940s and 50s starting with the US military and President Eisenhower.<sup>7</sup> We also saw a kind of mass civilian attempt at contact in the California desert in the late 50s ‘Space Brother’ era and a stream of high profile individual communications in the last few decades starting with Whitley Strieber’s much popularised encounters.


Closely associated to this have been consistent rumours that there has been ET influence on the development of terrestrial technology - not just the covert seeding of Roswell technologies by Colonel Philip Corso into industry during the Cold War era<sup>8</sup> but also testimony by scientists linked to Bell and Motorola that they had been subjected to transmissions of advanced electronics which moved their particular industrial domain on by a significant degree. Advanced scientist Tesla also had ideas ‘beamed’ into his mind during dreams and waking states of consciousness. An interesting case in 2009 demonstrated that this tactic is continuing. Dr Roger Leir and author Whitley Strieber provided us with the account of a high level, advanced tech scientist who first hand admitted he had been involved in very real contact with an advanced group of ETs.<sup>9</sup> ‘John Smith’ provides a perfect example of this technology seeding by his agreement to the removal of an implant by Dr Leir. When tested – this implant contained non-terrestrial isotopes yet it was formed using a specific production method which aligned perfectly to the very area the scientist was involved in working on. That is, the ETs once more demonstrated a side we see in some of them frequently - that of the ‘pragmatic prankster’ insofar as they are catalysing our knowledge “just enough” to be useful without going too far and risking some sort of space-time glitch.

### **Economic Re-Booting and Space Migration**

In a more advanced society, hopefully one that is not too far off for us, the Obama Conundrum could easily have been turned into a vote winning topic. Given that we’re in the middle of a global recession you’d think that radical options would now be offered as viable solutions. When you strip away the media misinformation the more aware economists and trends forecasters point to 2008/9 as the era when the free-market system we’ve used and abused for centuries actually implodes. Free markets have become just the reverse with fraudulent associations manifesting between state and corporation not to mention the research of Peter Dale Scott who demonstrates that the ties between

‘civilian’ sectors and crime syndicates are now such that they are accepted and invisible to most.<sup>10</sup> Thus we could now suggest that continuing the policies of the Thatcher/Reagan era is not going to work in the long run yet neither will a perceived flip to a planned economy work PR wise with the populous given the communist overtones of such a system. The move to a space-oriented economy could however help in forming an alternative and successful avenue to future prosperity. The Obama Conundrum would resolve itself by creating a 21<sup>st</sup> century New Deal focused on expanding new technology, employing people in new, associated disciplines and encouraging all other public and private sectors to have the New ‘Space’ Deal at the leading edge of their Research and Development strategy.

Arthur C Clarke uses the analogy of the first real space technology – weather satellites - to illustrate the prosperity factor by having estimated that the improvement in our ability to predict the weather has saved farmers alone so much money, that that in itself would support the entire space program. It’s interesting to note that even the researchers and academics who have previously been hesitant to approach issues related to expansion into space are now including this in their current discussions due to the economic considerations. Popular author Webster Griffin Tarpley now says that mass funding of both modern terrestrial technology such as mag-lev trains and off-planet systems are the solution to both the new presidents economic woes and the global uncertainty we’re all witnessing<sup>11</sup>. Ex-Forbes Asian Bureau journalist Benjamin Fulford similarly points to “terra-forming Mars” as a constructive option for regeneration and a useful diversion from the negative terrestrial monkey business!





Another win-win scenario was discussed by Eric Drexler<sup>12</sup> as part of an L-5 study. He pointed to the possibility that non-terrestrial resources “would supply the primarily non-terrestrial markets of a space-based civilization...” as well as a later possibility of “involving the return of raw materials to markets on the Earth”. Again we see here an alternative approach to the usual barriers that those opposed to off-planet expansion would undoubtedly mention once the topic became a serious consideration.

### **Exopolitics and Resource Allocation – A New Economic Paradigm**

When questioned on the Obama Conundrum - the idea that space exploration takes resources from domestic issues Robert Anton Wilson responded:

I think people who raise that objection don't fully understand how much we've already benefited from space exploration. It's absolutely staggering when one considers the number of technological advances that have come out of NASA and been applied here on Earth.<sup>13</sup>

Along with the political drive and vision to push space migration onto the agenda and benefit from the points we've raised above, governing institutions will need to consider scarcity and funding in a different way than the past. The standard terrestrial approach to the economic process is flawed on many levels although the general idea of supply and demand free markets does produce efficiency and maximises choice and cost. Systemic problems of contemporary high finance include:

- wealth accumulation for it's own sake aka usury or interest
- trend towards hedge fund flows which ensures production is increasingly abstract
- trade globalisation essentially mean the right to produce the most pointless objects at the cheapest price
- increasingly risky policy of removing precious metal backing of currencies

A space-oriented economy would do best to reduce the impact of many of these elements and shift the focus to a research and development based system. A useful and fundamental perspective change along the lines of that posited by the [Venus Project](#) would help map such a transition:

Through the use of innovative approaches to social awareness, educational incentives, and the consistent application of the best that science and technology can offer directly to the social system, The Venus Project offers a comprehensive plan for social reclamation in which human beings, technology, and nature will be able to coexist in a long-term, sustainable state of dynamic equilibrium.<sup>14</sup>

Jacques Fresco, founder of the Venus Project, says we move to declare all of Earth's [and we could extend this to near space] resources as common, shared resources. From this point via education and training we should shift from a monetary based economy to a resource based economy. Fresco claims the revolution in this is that the questions then stop being "Can we afford or do we have enough money for X or Y?" and move more like "Do we have the resources for X or Y?" The latter context seems a simple shift yet it provides the 'yes' answer far more frequently than the monetary oriented system does.

### **Disclosure as a Future Catalyst**

A key node of political pressure for the Exopolitics field is that of formal Disclosure - essentially an acknowledgement of an ET presence by those in power. Although big 'D' Disclosure is a simple admission or policy change on one level - it has associated threads which would also be introduced into consensus reality once the basic process was initiated. A major part of this is the creation of some sort of truth and reconciliation system for those covert project workers who are currently or have previously been engaged in processes that keep advanced technology and information from the public and even governments of the day. The acknowledgement of ETI and black project amnesty, if achieved, would vastly increase the speed at which novel ideas such as space migration could be considered.



We're already aware of not only technology that has been back-engineered from extra-terrestrial hardware but there is some evidence of direct and indirect exchanges with ETs too. Should this be released as part of ongoing integration of the 'alien' paradigm we could be decades ahead of where we are now in a short time period. Given that technology, science and cultural outlook are all to an extent interwoven, it's likely that an exponential feedback loop would build with the collective consciousness of the species. Ie: so long as we ensured the emphasis was placed on the freedom possibilities as opposed to the 'Borgian' or Orwellian aspects it's feasible that what seem like dreams today may be easily attainable.

This facet could be boot-strapped up again by the fact that a logical extension of ongoing Disclosure is of course more direct communication and consultation with the very entities we're collectively acknowledging as a planet. This element is fundamental to our discussion. JJ Hurtak describes it thus:

The most critical period of human-Et involvement begins when the human race passes through its initial point of contact in relationship with other races in space. The long-term realities of contact will redefine 'the new image of man' and change the meaning off all disciplines and new judgements to life extending well into the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.<sup>15</sup>

He adds: "our new awareness capabilities in conjunction with extra-terrestrial intelligence can help restructure our life in new space frontiers and provide for the experience of space law and new cosmic civics for citizenship in the new frontiers of outer space."

The UFO accounts are already littered with hints at technology exchanges<sup>16</sup> – so imagine this situation but carried out in an open, transparent manner for the benefit of all. Secondly it's likely that a tipping point would occur with regards public acceptance of the ET issue and thus by default agreement for the space migration vision itself. Previous historical experience dictates that it doesn't require anywhere near a 'democratic' 100% to create a suitable wave of change. Once key members of each cultural group [politicians, scientists, engineers, artists etc] were on board, a mini 'omega point' of transcendence would take place hopefully continuing the cycle of change for the better.

Evolutionary breakthroughs of vital importance are often made when humanity is facing increasingly confusing and chaotic epochs. Apart from global war or the threat of a meteor strike there seem little to compare to ET disclosure for catalysing all aspects of society into embracing novel ideas and working through difficult times.

### **Space Habitats as a Solution**

Another member of Leary's eclectic futurist group in the 70s was [Robert Anton Wilson](#). Wilson became the victim of one of his own statements as he had always maintained that those planning the future always point to dates a little too early. In a mid 70s article he stated:

I think space habitats are absolutely inevitable in the next 30 years. The only remaining question is, how soon? All of the major problems confronting this planet will either be alleviated or solved once we start building space colonies. By 2025, there will be more people leaving this planet than being born on it.<sup>17</sup>



Although it seems doubtful that such a process will be taking place by 2025, it does show how strong the issue was becoming in recent decades. Indeed – tangible signs do exist of trips to space becoming regular events if we see space tourism as a prelude to space migration and colonisation. In the last couple of years British business guru Richard Branson joined with advanced aviator Burt Rutan and others to start [Virgin Galactic](#). They have private technology capable of taking paying passengers into low earth orbit right at this moment. Also in the planning are projects such as [The Ranch](#) which allows new energy researchers to work in an advanced environment with the ultimate aim of citizen anti-gravity and space travel<sup>18</sup>. In many areas we can now see examples of habitats on earth that contain many of the elements required for off-planet living. Recently

Britain's [Eden Project](#)<sup>19</sup> announced a ground-breaking new energy system which utilises geothermal power and could run up to 5000 British homes.

The move to orbital habitats or larger life-supporting systems further into the solar system would also begin to deal with one of the main problems of terrestrial living which itself is linked by many to environmental concerns. In a recent edition of the *Exopolitics Journal* I looked into the rarely examined area of [population control and Exopolitics](#).<sup>20</sup> In the article it was found that a repeating concern of many visiting Et groups was the fact that for the Earth to remain a healthy, supporting entity – we needed to rapidly re-think how to deal with exponentially increasing terrestrial numbers. Whilst we agreed that this area is somewhat contentious, a little research shows this issue to be at the top of UN and other geopolitical agendas.

Linked into this terrestrial drive to confront the issue was the fact there were few contact cases where care for Earths ecology and thus population considerations wasn't prioritised. Recently the exopolitical community encountered the case of a Navy officer, who could perhaps be termed a whistleblower, who discussed the fact that secret UN meetings had taken place




on a variety of areas directly related to the imminent arrival of a large ET federation<sup>21</sup>. Whilst there are a lot of angles to this case – relevant to our topic was the fact that the Pickering brothers were told about plans to one day take a proportion of the human race off-planet due to an impending E.L.E. or extinction level event. The ET group in charge of the contact and who allegedly were represented directly at some UN meetings have stated that they were in the process of creating a suitable, highly complex biosphere for those they would take. It was however pointed out that they could only take a representative 'genetic' sample or proportion of the current global population and that it was up to us to find methods of reducing our numbers prior to this actually occurring.

The situation above adds another dimension to the longer term case for space migration insofar as we need to become more aware of alternative avenues for avoiding the many ‘cosmic’ catastrophes that may currently be the themes in numerous Hollywood films but are at the end of the day all too real. Perhaps an argument for inviting non-terrestrial groups into open communication with us is for just this reason ie: space faring ETs may well have experienced the exact process of a civilisation moving from the planetary gravity well in their own or other cultures and can thus offer unique help and perspectives. We know from contactee testimony that some of the ships that arrive in our region of space are breathtakingly vast in size and function and therefore possess life-support systems to some extent. Swiss contactee Billy Meier reported on his first visit to the Plejaren mothership The Great Spacer: “The bridge seemed to be about two miles long and the ship was about 10 miles in diameter and 20 miles high.”<sup>22</sup>

Whilst this sort of account is easy for sceptics to dismiss we can point to cases such as the 1990s Phoenix Lights and the sighting by Ray Bowyer in Southern England of a craft “at least a mile wide”.<sup>23</sup> Thus we have more evidence than just Star Trek to know that fully functioning, mobile habitats are a reality and indeed a possibility if we decided to request some degree of ET assistance in this area. Having this ability to leave the mother planet for long periods may be useful one day if not vital and a genetic imperative. This may sound dramatic but just one issue directly impacting on terrestrial DNA is the amount of depleted uranium poisoning all areas of the globe. This is the tip of the iceberg when it come to many of the modern technologies we are still unsure of the long term effects of.

### **Space migration, consciousness and freedom**

Throughout the 1970s and 80s – Leary especially was at the forefront of exploring the impact of the transition from a planetary consciousness to what he called a post-terrestrial or post-larval mode of being. In books such as *Exo-Psychology* and *Neuropolitique* he used his background as a Harvard psychologist and experience of exploring states of mind to create models such as his S.M.I<sup>2</sup>L.E. acronym: Space Migration Intelligence Increase and life Extension along with the 8-Circuit model<sup>24</sup> of consciousness which was split between 4 terrestrial and 4 post-terrestrial gestalts. Few people were looking at the impact of such a transition so deeply on a personal and collective psychological level.



It's also possible that a move to off-planet habitation would offer new avenues for freedom and expression. With the current global paranoia regards global terror and an increasing terrestrial ability for pan-optic surveillance, new paradigms when handled intelligently bring new freedoms: freedom is always found on the perimeter of society, on the expanding wave. This is a similar situation to the groups that left England for the New World and the Pioneers who headed to those new territories knowing that the further they went - the less the impact of any governing body would be.

“The art of freedom is to keep moving on the expanding wave. That's why all the most libertarian people are piled up on this side of the

Rockies or in Hawaii. The industrial frontier is closed, so the next place for the libertarian to go is into space.”<sup>25</sup>

William S Burroughs once made the point that a peaceful and productive future would best be served by allowing groups with shared interests and philosophies to create their own zones of living. Space migration offers the best chance of this given the current pressure on terrestrial land resources from both the ecological groups and private conglomerates. It's strange to look back at the 19<sup>th</sup> Century and realise that in a sense it provided more room for exploring real situations of Utopia [see Thomas Moore et al] that we maybe have today. Looking back at the frontier movement in America at that time there were over 1000 novel, community experiments. Many of these failed or were adopted by future government structures but some still remain today such as the Amish. Thus on the basic level of a 'good' society, space offers the chance of new energy forms and the possibility of societal experiments' - two core problems of contemporary terrestrial living.

### **New Territory – New Power Games**

Although the likes of Leary claimed that the move into space would bring an associated end to many of the terrestrial problems and struggles - it would be naive to think these would end – even with the elevated consciousness that undoubtedly accompanies such a new perspective. In fact in some areas we could see these territorial battles amplifying – we already have the military aiming to ‘Own Space’<sup>26</sup> in coming decades and civilian activists attempting to prevent space weaponisation.<sup>27</sup>

What we should aim for is to jointly bootstrap conscious awareness with our technical abilities. It’s interesting that we have represented in two well defined groups of ETs, the Greys and the so called Nordics, the polarities of a science or technocratic evolutionary strata and that of a spiritually evolved strata. This is a lesson in how to manage and integrate an accelerating culture poised on the verge of entering hyperspatial realms. Both civilian and government institutions need to guard against the dominance of space or advanced, space-oriented technologies, by unrepresented groups and cabals. Terrestrial experience has surely been sufficient by now to have learned which games are worth playing and which projects worth supporting. A recent report by Alfred Webre of Exopolitics.com highlights how careful we should be when trying to use [space as a scientific testing ground](#). We’ve already had testimony from government employees like Karl Wolf that the moon has bases built upon it and thus may be inhabited to some degree.<sup>28</sup>

Along with the commercial ventures we’ve mentioned, we should take a moment to acknowledge a couple of other small citizen initiatives who promoted access to space for all. British genius inventor John Searl was funded by a citizen group in the 1960s to produce and test his Levity Disc and he achieved some remarkable although poorly documented results.<sup>29</sup> Searl located a unique method for powering his devices and after successfully flying the machines over the UK and allegedly to Australia using amateur radio sets as navigation control he moved on to citizen transport designs. Searl wanted to introduce space travel to the world by initially offering trips out of the atmosphere and eventually to the moon and back. He knew this was possible and it seemed so did NASA and the British military as just at this point he was accused of creating a “Cold War” alert<sup>30</sup> with his test flights and asked to hand over his technology to the military. Searl refused and



was soon forced to stop his efforts when he was arrested on a series of bogus charges related to fraudulent electricity theft.

As second group also started in the UK in the mid 90s was the AAA or Association of Autonomous Astronauts. Wikipedia claims that

Although many of their activities were reported as serious participation in conferences or protests against the militarization of space, some were also considered art pranks, media pranks, or just an elaborate spoof.<sup>31</sup>



...but they do have some amateur scientists and technicians linked to their groups around the world. Their approach is important memetically if it lacks the resources and abilities to currently challenge formal space access on a practical level. Their mission statement includes:

We are becoming increasingly aware that space travel is being tightly controlled by the government and the super-rich. Space travel is programmed into our DNA as a survival necessity since the Sun will someday run out of fuel and engulf our planet. Those of us who are driven by this instinct are becoming increasingly frustrated at how space travel is currently organised.<sup>32</sup>

Wilson when asked about the hesitancy of public funding for this area being slow stated that he'd prefer to see private business engaging this area: "I don't think that space colonization should become a government monopoly. Sadly, the government is only interested in space from the military angle."

There is significant evidence that global military structures have a presence at various planetary orbital levels already - all of this is undeclared. Currently we are told that apart from the International Space Station and the odd Shuttle flight the only terrestrial tech in space are the numerous forms of satellites. In the last couple of years the Exopolitics community considered two significant items of evidence that demonstrates this to be untrue. The first was the claim of Gary

[McKinnon](#) who, after watching the Disclosure Project in 2001, penetrated NASA and military networks and claims to have found data related to a “Non-terrestrial officer” fleet and “fleet-to-fleet transfers” – the latter ‘ship’ names matched no Naval sea-going fleet Gary could find. Linked to this are the stunning, high-resolution images of John Leonard Walson [a pseudonym] who’s astro-videography has received acclaim from academics and even NASA employees. JLW’s images show vast space ‘machines’ and platforms that are to date undisclosed. Whether these are terrestrial ‘black’ technology, ET based tech or some sort of shared system is currently unclear but the first option seems the most likely.<sup>33</sup>

### **Space Migration: The inevitability of leaving the womb**

To a certain degree - many of the ideas and situation we’ve touched upon here with regards the move off-planet are already evident in various forms. These may appear minor compared to the majority of everyday terrestrial ‘business as normal’ but we should not underestimate the tendency of situations to flip when the environmental factors are suitable. Space migration and the associated changes and benefits it brings us is not some abstract idea that may or may not one day be pursued by a few elite – it’s far more.

The foundations for our culture have already been prepared for us by many throughout history. If you look at the work of the 1970s futurists and the L-5 group it’s part of the longer term genetic plan for the species, i.e., it’s not optional. The only time it may become just an option is if we achieve the evolutionary F-Grade and blow ourselves out of orbit or so badly destroy our biosphere that we can’t leave a dying planet in time anyway.

Neil Freer re-examined the work of Zecharia Sitchin in an Exopolitical context and concluded this:

“While the scientific imperialists and the religious dogmatists have thought that they owned the discussion by proprietarily boxing the argument and defining the binary options, the Sumerian scholar, Zecharia Sitchin, has advanced a robust and coherent paradigm of our genesis and unique history that, if true, is profound, comprehensive, and fundamental enough to enable us to rewrite the entire history of our beginnings and the planet

astronomically, evolutionarily, paleontologically, archaeologically and, literally, redefine ourselves as humans. In a word, there is a third explanation of our beginnings and history.<sup>34</sup>

If these genetic masters came to Earth, seeded us and left this seems to be a hint that we're destined to do the same - or at least continue exploring the boundaries we perceive around us. By embracing the 'alien' aspect of ourselves we also begin to liberate ourselves towards more infinite beings and the ability to collapse separateness is key to that process. We can employ help with this transition because whether most of us are aware or not, this help is already taking place. By working on shifting the Obama Conundrum from a problematic discourse to one of vision and pragmatism by including the space issue, we stand to claim our evolutionary birth-right and start our journey to the stars - as appears to have been done by the civilizations that watch over us.

\*\*\*

**About the Author: David Griffin** - Born in the English Midlands, he has lived in many areas of the UK. He does not come from a background of UFOlogy but like many people has found that you repeatedly end up in this field after years of research in wider areas. Academically he managed to obtain a place on Bradford University's infamous ['Peace Studies and Conflict Resolution'](#) degree course the year the college was under pressure



to shut the course down from then Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher. Has worked in virtual learning and learning innovation after obtaining an M.Sc. in [Interactive Media and Education](#) with emphasis on [Human Computer Interaction](#) but also a variety of areas including NHS support for people with chronic addictions, and NLP based learning and events promotion. Currently expanding the UK node of Exopolitics sites and pursuing methods of pushing the field in the UK and Europe. Main website: <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk>.





ENDNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk/intelligent-et-vehicles-drop-cosmic-hint/>
- <sup>2</sup> Leary, Dr Timothy – The Intelligence Agents. New Falcon Press.
- <sup>3</sup> <http://www.aeivos.com/~bradbury/Authors/Engineering/ONeill-GK/TCoS.html>
- <sup>4</sup> For an excellent video introduction to this idea - see the media section of the Uk Exopolitics site.
- <sup>5</sup> See archived newsletters at: <http://www.nss.org/settlement/L5news/>
- <sup>6</sup> Audio interview – Exopolitics uk - 2007.
- <sup>7</sup> See Salla’s article <http://www.exopolitics.org/Study-Paper-8.htm>
- <sup>8</sup> The Day After Roswell. Bill Birnes and Phillip J Corso.
- <sup>9</sup> See the interview on Dreamland Radio, May 2009.
- <sup>10</sup> Scott, Michael Ruppert and former Bush economist Catherine Austin Fitts all point to the fact drug money is now an intrinsic part of global finance flows - to the point that removing this element would cause immediate economic collapse.
- <sup>11</sup> Personal email correspondence with Webster Tarpley in late 2008.
- <sup>12</sup> [http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/topics/K.\\_Eric\\_Drexler](http://www.absoluteastronomy.com/topics/K._Eric_Drexler)
- <sup>13</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>14</sup> Article and media as an introduction at: <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk/media-objects/video/futurism-and-resource-management/>
- <sup>15</sup> Paper 1995. When Cosmic Cultures Meet. Human Potential Foundation.
- <sup>16</sup> An interesting case is that of the Tall Whites who did various exchanges with the US military in return for a base and other requirements. Good resource for the case listed here: <http://www.exopolitics.org/charles-hall.htm>
- <sup>17</sup> Interview in Starship Magazine - RAW.
- <sup>18</sup> Gary Voss and Bill Alek can be seen discussing the project here: <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=4813784235526313137>
- <sup>19</sup> <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-1190107/Geothermal-power-plant-run-5-000-British-homes-built-Cornwall.html>
- <sup>20</sup> <http://www.exopoliticsjournal.com/vol-2-4.htm>
- <sup>21</sup> For a full account of this situation and related audio and video - start here: <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk/media-objects/audio/omega-point-2017-%11-un-meetings-and-the-arrival>
- <sup>22</sup> Audio Set – Contact Notes – Randy Winters. Some more details of Plejaren ship types and capacities can be found here: <http://relay4thetruth.blogspot.com/2007/10/semjases-explanations.html>
- <sup>23</sup> <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk/2007/spring/pilot-sees-massive-ufo-off-channel-islands/>
- <sup>24</sup> Neil Freer – <http://neilfreer.com>
- <sup>25</sup> Spaceship Magazine: An interview with RAW.
- <sup>26</sup> See <http://www.fas.org/spp/military/docops/usspac/visbook.pdf> - US Space Command’s Vision for 2020.
- <sup>27</sup> There are several activist groups dealing with this area - but see <http://peaceinspace.org> for a good background.
- <sup>28</sup> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R6QNzH4x1rY>
- <sup>29</sup> <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk/new-energy-forms/2009/british-genius-has-story-told-at-last/>
- <sup>30</sup> Searl’s test flights were causing radar alerts which possibly caused a stand-off between nations. For more on this see the DVD The John Searl Story or various sites.
- <sup>31</sup> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Association\\_of\\_Autonomous\\_Astronauts](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Association_of_Autonomous_Astronauts)
- <sup>32</sup> 2009 Facebook.com profile for AAA.
- <sup>33</sup> Images and video are on Youtube and Blip.tv - or see: <http://www.exopolitics.org.uk/2007/winter/above-your-heads-%11-black-ops,-star-wars-or-et?/>
- <sup>34</sup> Neil Freer’s E-book: Sapiens.